

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,330	02/05/2004	Edward M. Lane	3030	2562
. 6449 75	590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			CHONG, YONG SOO	
SUITE 800	1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		1617	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/771,330	LANE, EDWARD M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong S. Chong	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 No	<u>ovember 2005</u> .				
<i>'</i> =	,—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,5 and 8-15 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 7 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
o, are subject to resultation and su	Cloud of Toquilottonic				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	,, <b></b>				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/6/05.		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Status of the Application

This Office Action is in response to applicant's election filed on 11/3/2005.

Claims 1-15 are pending. Claims 4-5, 8-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/3/2005. The requirement is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-3, 6-7 are examined herein.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 5 of copending Application No. 10/624,609. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because application 10/624,609 claim a

Application/Control Number: 10/771,330

Art Unit: 1617

method of treating acute maxillary sinusitis of fungal origin with an azole antifungal agent selected from the group consisting of fluconazole, voriconazole, and itraconazole.

Claims 1-2, 6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-6 of copending Application No. 11/018,312. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because application 11/018,312 claim a composition comprising an antifungal agent, particularly itraconazole, caspofungin, and amphotericin B, with an antibacterial agent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-3, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ponikau et al. (US Patent 6,207,703 B1).

Ponikau et al. teach a method of treating non-invasive fungus-induced mucositis, such as chronic otitis media, by administering an antifungal formulation (abstract). This formulation can contain a cocktail of antifungal agents along with additional ingredients such as antibacterial agents (col. 26, lines 10-29). One particular formulation calls for a

Application/Control Number: 10/771,330

Art Unit: 1617

1

combination of an antifungal agent (col. 42, lines 56-61) and an antibacterial agent (col. 44, lines 44-53). Preferred antifungal agents include amphotericin B, itraconazole, saperconazole, and voriconazole (col. 43, lines 39-42). Furthermore, this formulation may be administered as an ear drop (col. 28, lines 41-44).

Examiner respectfully reminds applicant that the intended use of a composition has no patentable weight.

It is respectfully pointed out that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish from each other. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Thus, the intended use of a composition claim will be given no patentable weight.

It is further respectfully pointed out that a preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951). See MPEP 2111.02.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,330

Art Unit: 1617

#### Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yong S. Chong whose telephone number is (571)-272-8513. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, SREENI PADMANABHAN can be reached on (571)-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YSC

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINER